

Objectives

Polytype Semantics

Dr. Mattox Beckman

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

- ▶ Use the Gen and Inst rules to introduce polymorphic types.
- ▶ Explain the \forall syntax in type signatures.
- ▶ Explain the type difference between let and function application.
- ▶ Draw some proof trees for polymorphically typed programs.

The Language

- ▶ We are going to type λ -calculus extended with let, if, arithmetic, and comparisons.

$L ::=$	$\lambda x.L$	abstractions
	$L L$	applications
	$\text{let } x = L \text{ in } L$	let expressions
	$\text{if } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \text{ fi}$	if expressions
	E	expressions
$E ::=$	x	variables
	n	integers
	b	booleans
	$E \oplus E$	integer operations
	$E \sim E$	integer comparisons
	$E \&\& E$	boolean and
	$E E$	boolean or

Remember the Let Rule?

- ▶ Remember this rule for let :

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma \cup [x : \sigma] \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau} \text{LET}$$

- ▶ We cannot type check things like this:
`let f = \x -> x in (f "hi", f 30)`
- ▶ What is the type of id here?
`id x = x`

Type Variables in Rules

A *monotype* τ can be a

- ▶ Type constant (e.g., `Int`, `Bool`, etc.)
- ▶ Instantiated type constructor (e.g., `[Int]`, `Int → Int`)
- ▶ A type variable α

A *polytype* σ can be a

- ▶ Monotype τ
- ▶ Qualified type $\forall\alpha.\sigma$

```

1 {-# LANGUAGE ScopedTypeVariables #-}
2 id :: forall a . a -> a
3 id x = x

```

- ▶ The `UniodeSyntax` extension allows us to put \forall directly in the source code.
`id :: ∀ a . a -> a`



Some Rules

- ▶ Monomorphic variable rule:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \text{VAR, if } x : \tau \in \Gamma$$

- ▶ Polymorphic variable rule:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} \text{VAR, if } x : \sigma \in \Gamma$$

- ▶ The function and application rules are the same as before.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \alpha_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \alpha} \text{APP}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \cup \{x : \alpha_1\} \vdash e : \alpha_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e : \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2} \text{ABS}$$



Monotypes and Polytypes

```

1 -- Some Haskell polytype functions
2 head :: forall a . [a] -> a
3 length :: forall a . [a] -> Int -- sortof
4 id :: forall a . a -> a
5 map :: forall a b . (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b] -- sortof

```

- ▶ In HASKELL, the `forall` part is **implicit at the top level!**



Leveling Up Let

- ▶ Here is the old `let` rule again.

$$\frac{\Gamma \cup \{x : \tau_1\} \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau_2} \text{LET}$$

- ▶ Here is our new one.

$$\frac{\Gamma \cup \{x : \sigma_1\} \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \sigma_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau_2} \text{LET}$$



Gen and Inst

Gen

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash e : \forall \alpha. \sigma}, \text{ where } \alpha \text{ is not free in } \Gamma$$

Example:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. x : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \text{ GEN}$$

Inst

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \sigma'}{\Gamma \vdash e : \sigma}, \text{ when } \sigma' \geq \sigma$$

Example:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash id : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}{\Gamma \vdash id : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}} \text{ INST}$$



Example 1

To prove:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \equiv \{id : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha, n : \text{Int}\} \vdash id \ n : \text{Int}}$$



Type Hierarchy

- ▶ What is $\sigma \geq \sigma'$?
- ▶ We can get σ' from $\forall \alpha. \sigma$ by consistently replacing a particular α with a monotype τ and removing the quantifier.
- ▶ Type variables in the result that are free can be quantified.
- ▶ Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha &\geq \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \\ \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha &\geq \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool} \\ \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha &\geq \forall \beta. \beta \rightarrow \beta \end{aligned}$$

†

- ▶ Nonexamples:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha &\geq \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Bool} \\ \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha &\geq \alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool} \\ \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha &\geq \forall \beta. \beta \rightarrow \text{Int} \end{aligned}$$



Example 1

$$\frac{\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash id : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}} \text{ INST} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash n : \text{Int}} \text{ VAR}}{\Gamma \equiv \{id : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha, n : \text{Int}\} \vdash id \ n : \text{Int}} \text{ APP}$$



Example 1

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{id} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}{\Gamma \vdash \text{id} : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}} \text{VAR} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{id} : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash n : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \equiv \{\text{id} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha, n : \text{Int}\} \vdash \text{id } n : \text{Int}} \text{INST} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash n : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \equiv \{\text{id} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha, n : \text{Int}\} \vdash \text{id } n : \text{Int}} \text{VAR APP}}$$

Example 2

To prove:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \equiv \{\} \vdash \text{let } f = \lambda x. x \text{ in } f : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \text{LET}$$



Example 2

To prove:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\{x : \alpha\} \vdash x : \alpha}{\{ \} \vdash \lambda x. x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \text{VAR}}{\{ \} \vdash \lambda x. x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \text{ABS}}{\{ \} \vdash \lambda x. x : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \text{GEN} \quad \frac{\{f : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha\} \vdash f : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}{\Gamma \equiv \{\} \vdash \text{let } f = \lambda x. x \text{ in } f : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \text{VAR LET}}$$



A Weird Thing about Let and Functions

- ▶ The two following expressions would seem to be equivalent, yes?
 - ▶ Expression 1:


```
let f = \ x -> x in (f "hi", f 10)
```
 - ▶ Expression 2:


```
(\f -> (f "hi", f 10)) (\x -> x)
```
- ▶ Try this at home and see what happens!

What Happens ...

► What's going on here?

```

1 Main> let f = \x -> x in (f "hi", f 10)
2 ("hi", 10)
3 Main> (\f -> (f "hi", f 10)) (\x -> x)
4
5   No instance for (Num [Char]) arising from the literal '10'
6   In the first argument of 'f', namely '10'
7   In the expression: f 10
8   In the expression: (f "hi", f 10)

```



Type Checking the Troublemaker

- Add pairs to our list of type constructors.
- Type check this:

$$\frac{}{\{\} \vdash (\lambda f . (f \text{"hi"}, f 10)) (\lambda x . x) : (\text{String}, \text{Int})} \text{App}$$

- And then type check this:

$$\frac{}{\{\} \vdash \text{let } f = (\lambda x . x) \text{ in } (f \text{"hi"}, f 3) : (\text{String}, \text{Int})} \text{Let}$$

