▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへで

# Subclassing and Subtyping

#### Dr. Mattox Beckman

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Department of Computer Science

▲□▶▲@▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ 差 のへぐ

#### Objectives You should be able to ...

The idea of a subtype and a subclass are very closely related, but there is a subtle difference we would like to cover.

- Explain the difference between a subclass and a subtype.
- Explain the terms *covariant* and *contravariant*.
- Identify if two types have a subtyping relationship.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへで

#### How do Types Relate?

How can you tell if one type is a subtype of another?

- Are integers subtypes of floats? (Or vice-versa?)
- Characters / strings?
- Squares / shapes?

#### How do Types Relate?

- How can you tell if one type is a subtype of another?
  - Are integers subtypes of floats? (Or vice-versa?)
  - Characters / strings?
  - Squares / shapes?
- An integer is a kind of float, so we can say that integer is a subtype of float.

Float ↓ Int

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへで

# Covariance

- Some types take parameters, such as lists and trees.
- If the subtype relationship varies according to the input type, the type is said to be covariant.
- "Most" types containing parameters are covariant.

## **Functions**

- Functions are an important exception!
  - The function type is covariant with respect to the output. If we are expecting a function that outputs a float, I can give you a function that outputs an integer without breaking anything. The reverse is not true!
  - The function type is contravariant with respect to the input. If we are expecting a function that takes a float, providing a function that takes an integer will fail or truncate the input.



### The Trouble with Objects ...

Actually, there's more than just this one!

```
public class A {
public A foo(A x) { ... }
public A bar() { /* calls foo ... */ }
}
public class B : A {
    public B foo(B x) { ... }
    }
```

B.bar inherits from A.

- But B.foo overwrites A.foo.
- When A.bar calls B.foo, what will happen?

# Conclusions

- Objects have a lot of flexibility and allow us to create useful abstractions.
- They can be implemented using functions. Users of functional programming languages tend to avoid them.
- These are useful enough in practice, and difficult enough to implement, that most modern languages now include them, including OCaml. (That's where the O comes from.)
- Inheritance can be tricky.